

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/173,134

Applicant(s)

Gabriel et al.

Examiner

Son T. Nguyen

Group Art Unit

3643

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Son T. Nguyen(3) Howard Gitten(2) Michael Carone(4) Steven PokotilowDate of Interview Sep 13, 1999(5) Dale Murray(6) Neil CampbellType: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description:

A live model of the invention and prior arts were shown. In addition, a video advertisement of the present invention and brochures were shown.

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 3

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussion of allowable subject matter for the rejected claims 1-7. Suggestion of incorporating an explanation in the amendment about what is meant by the term "cage level barrier" and to include proof that the contained animal such as a rat cannot be in a 18-inch cage to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 obvious to make the cage any sizes.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Son T. Nguyen GAU 3643

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.